## PRESS RELEASE

## PSOJ's COUNCIL OF PRESIDENTS RESPONSE TO THE STREET LIGHT CESS

The Minister of Local Government and Community Development, the Honourable Arnold Bertram recently tabled a Bill entitled "An Act to Amend the Electric Lighting Act" in the House of Representatives. Among other things, the Bill calls for the introduction of a cess of 3.14% of a customer's JPSCo bill, for the purpose of constructing and maintaining street lights across Jamaica. The Minister further stated that the cess will provide a capital fund from which the expansion of street lights will be financed, starting with a 10% increase in street lights during the 2002/3 fiscal year.

The Private Sector Organisation of Jamaica (PSOJ) Council of Presidents welcomes the initiative to increase the number of functioning streetlights but has concerns about the method of financing being used for this initiative. The Council acknowledges that the provision of street lights is a desired service, that has a cost which has to be met, but would rather a system where there is a direct relationship between the service rendered and the cost to the beneficiary of the service.

The cess is really a tax, which the government has required the JPS to collect on its behalf. Taxes should be transparent in their collection, and we do not believe that the government in this case should cede its authority to a private company to collect taxes on its behalf. It is a dangerous precedent that the Council strongly objects to. Though the JPS is currently a monopoly, it is conceivable that other power and energy companies could emerge to provide energy to residential and business customers. Who then would collect the cess?

The Council of Presidents believes that another way to finance street lighting should be examined for example through existing property taxation. As we understand from the Minister's presentation, it would be possible to raise the additional \$540 million projected yearly from the imposition of the cess through increasing the compliance rate for property taxation (on current valuations) without raising property tax rates.

In addition, the Council is of the view that in its current form, the cess is inequitable as there is no significant relationship between the private consumption of electricity by residential and commercial users at their homes and business places, and the consumption of the "public good" of street lighting. The cost of providing a set of lights should not differ greatly from one community to another, and on that basis, neither should the charge to the beneficiaries.

## **PAGE TWO**

According to figures released during the Minister's presentation, the majority of the cess (57%) will be paid by businesses (see Table 1), though energy rates to these businesses are already higher than other countries in the region. The Council of Presidents is of the view that the cess is prejudicial to economic growth in that it increases the production costs of businesses and industrial enterprises and serves to make them less competitive than their counterparts in countries with whom we trade. The timing of this addition to production costs is poor in light of the general slowdown in major sectors since the September 11<sup>th</sup> attacks.

Finally, the Minister stated that, "each Local Authority after consultation with Members of Parliament and citizens will be responsible for recommending the new areas to be provided for street lights in each parish". The Council questions the necessity of consulting with members of the legislature in the final delivery of the service and believes that this method of service delivery serves to entrench the culture of patronage that dominates our public affairs and would advocate that decisions on the location of new streetlights be devoid of partisan political influence.

DATE:

March 07, 2002

**END** 

CONTACT:

**GRETA BOGUES** 

**PSOJ** 

39 HOPE ROAD KINGSTON 10

TEL: 927-6238

FAX: 927-5137

**ATTACHMENT** 

TABLE 1
APPLICATION OF STREET LIGHT CESS BY CUSTOMER CLASS

| RATE   | CLASS      | NO OF  | AVERAGE<br>MONTHLY BILL | TOTAL REVENUE<br>FOR CLASS | • •  | VG. INCREASE<br>O MONTHLY BILL | TOTAL MONTHLY CESS FOR CLASS |     |
|--|------------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| 10 Residential                                     |            | 442592 | 1527                    | 675,837,984                | 43%  | 48                             | 21,221,313                   | 43% |
| 20 Small Commercial                                | Businesses | 53290  | 7070                    | 376,760,300                | 24%  | 222                            | 11,830,273                   | 24% |
| 40 Large Commercial and Small to medium Industrial |            | 1320   | 224742                  | 296,659,440                | 19%  | 7,057                          | 9,315,106                    | 19% |
| 50 Large Industrial                                |            | 98     | 2340943                 | 229,412,414                | 15%_ | 73,506                         | 7,203,550                    | 15% |
| ·  |            |        |                         | 1,578,670,138              |      | 80,832                         | 49,570,242                   |     |

| CESS PAID BY RESIDENCES | 43% |
|-------------------------|-----|
| CESS PAID BY BUSINESSES | 57% |

## MESSAGE CONFIRMATION REPORT

DATE : MAR-12-2002 16:44

NAME: PSOJ TEL.: 9275137

PHONE : 9601465

PACES : 1

START TIME : 03-12 16:44

ELAPSED TIME : 00'24"

MODE : ECM-RESULTS : OK